

Influence of Foliar Potassium Nutrition on Nutrient Uptake and Profitability of Summer Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* L.)

R. J. Salunkhe¹, N. S. Ugale², N. J. Danawale³, R. S. Thakare⁴ and M. R Patil⁵

Dept. of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri - 413 722 (India)

Correspondence author Email : niteendanawale@rediffmail.com

(Received : 26.03.2026 Accepted : 08.07.2026)

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the summer season of 2024-25 at Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, to evaluate the effect of foliar potassium application on soil chemical properties, nutrient uptake, and economic returns in summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Treatments included different potassium sources and concentrations with a recommended dose of fertilizers in a randomized block design. Results showed that foliar application of potassium, particularly potassium Schoenite at 2% or SOP at 2% or MOP at 2% at 40 and 55 DAS nutrient uptake by the crop was enhanced. Economic analysis revealed that the treatment with potassium Schoenite at 2% or SOP at 2% or MOP at 2% at 40 and 55 DAS foliar application generated the highest gross and net returns with superior benefit-cost ratio. The study highlights the effectiveness of foliar potassium nutrition for enhancing soil fertility, nutrient use efficiency, and profitability in summer green gram cultivation under semi-arid tropics.

Key words : Green gram, foliar potassium, nutrient uptake, potassium schoenite, economic analysis.

Summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) is a vital legume crop cultivated extensively in semi-arid regions due to its short growing period, nutritional value, and nitrogen-fixing ability. Despite its adaptability and economic importance, green gram production is often constrained by nutrient deficiencies, particularly potassium (K), which plays an indispensable role in various physiological processes essential for plant growth and yield. Potassium is critical for enzyme activation, osmoregulation, photosynthesis, and nutrient translocation, thus impacting crop productivity and quality (Jat *et al.*, 2021). Conventionally, soil application of potassium fertilizers has been the primary management strategy; however, foliar potassium application has emerged as a rapid and efficient alternative, potentially alleviating potassium deficiency during critical crop growth stages and improving nutrient use efficiency (Choudhary *et al.*, 2024).

Foliar nutrient application allows direct nutrient uptake by leaves, facilitating immediate utilization and minimizing nutrient losses commonly associated with soil applications due to fixation or leaching. Several recent investigations have emphasized the positive impact of potassium foliar sprays on soil nutrient dynamics, plant nutrient uptake, and physiological improvements in various crops, including pulses (Manisha *et al.*, 2025; Pandian *et al.*, 2024). In green gram, potassium foliar application has been reported to enhance physiological parameters such as chlorophyll content, stomatal conductance, and photosynthetic rate, leading to improved biomass accumulation and yield attributes (Roy *et al.*, 2023; Rajemahadik *et al.*, 2024).

Recent studies demonstrate that integrating potassium foliar applications with basal fertilization not only augments plant growth but also improves soil chemical properties, including pH, electrical conductivity, and organic carbon

content, through enhanced root exudation and microbial activity (Mohanapriya *et al.*, 2022). Such improvements in soil fertility parameters contribute to increased availability of essential macro-nutrients-nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K)-post-harvest, which is imperative for sustainable crop production systems. Furthermore, the synergistic effect of potassium with secondary nutrients like magnesium and sulfur, especially in forms such as potassium schoenite, has shown promising results in enhancing nutrient solubility and uptake efficiency in leguminous crops (Srinivasarao *et al.*, 2023; Kumar *et al.*, 2018).

Economic viability remains a critical factor for the adoption of nutrient management strategies by farmers. Foliar potassium applications have shown potential to improve the cost-benefit ratio by enhancing yield and quality while optimizing input use. Enhanced economic returns realized through increased gross and net monetary benefits validate foliar potassium application as a feasible practice for smallholder farmers cultivating summer green gram under resource-constrained environments (Manjhi *et al.*, 2020; Pandey *et al.*, 2013).

Despite growing evidence supporting potassium foliar nutrition, comprehensive studies that concurrently evaluate its effects on soil nutrient dynamics, plant nutrient uptake, and detailed economic analysis in summer green gram remain limited. This research aims to address this gap by investigating the impact of potassium foliar application on soil chemical properties, nutrient uptake efficiency (N, P, K), growth and yield performance, and economic returns of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.), with a special focus on foliar potassium sources such as potassium schoenite and sulfate of potash. The outcomes will contribute to optimizing nutrient management practices conducive to improving productivity and sustainability in pulse cropping systems.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment entitled “Enhanced Growth and Yield of Summer Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) through Foliar Application of Potassium Sources in Semi-Arid Tropics” was conducted at the Post Graduate Institute Farm, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth (MPKV), Rahuri, Dist. Ahilyanagar (Maharashtra) during the summer season of 2024-25. The site is situated between 19°19'-19°57' N latitude and 74°19'-74°32' E longitude, with an altitude of 491-537 m above mean sea level, falling under the semi-arid tropics with an average annual rainfall of 520 mm. The experimental soil was clayey, non-calcareous, and alkaline (pH 8.18) with medium organic carbon (0.51%), low available nitrogen (195.35 kg ha⁻¹), medium phosphorus (17.03 kg ha⁻¹), and very high potassium (367.22 kg ha⁻¹). Meteorological observations indicated maximum and minimum temperatures of 32.8-40.8°C and 12.6-22.5°C, respectively, with 214.6 mm rainfall during the experimental period. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications and twenty-four treatments. Each plot measured 3.60 x 3.00 m (gross) and 3.00 x 2.40 m (net). Land preparation involved ploughing and harrowing, followed by layout according to design. Phule Suvarna seeds were sown on 6th March, 2025, at 30 cm x 10 cm spacing using a seed rate of 15 kg ha⁻¹. Seeds were treated with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) @ 250 g and *Trichoderma* culture @ 50 g per 10 kg of seed. A recommended basal dose of 20:40:00 N:P₂O₅:K₂O kg ha⁻¹ and 5 t ha⁻¹ FYM was applied through straight fertilizers (urea and single super phosphate).

Results and Discussion

Available Soil Nutrients Post-Harvest

Nutrient Uptake : Though nitrogen and phosphorus contents in seed and straw were not significantly altered, their uptake differed notably

among treatments. Nitrogen uptake peaked in T₈ with 54.05 kg ha⁻¹ (seed) and 13.55 kg ha⁻¹ (straw), reflecting potassium's vital role in nitrate reduction, protein synthesis, and assimilate translocation, corroborating Akhil *et al.* (2022) and Kumawat *et al.* (2014). Phosphorus uptake was highest in T₈, emphasizing potassium schoenite's synergistic effect in enhancing root activity and enzyme function for improved P mobilization (Kumawat *et al.*, 2024; Akhil *et al.*, 2022). Potassium uptake was significantly influenced by foliar potassium source and concentration. T₈ again recorded the highest

uptake (19.66 kg ha⁻¹ seed; 19.87 kg ha⁻¹ straw), aligning with Das *et al.* (2017) and Verma *et al.* (2023), who reported enhanced potassium absorption and efficiency following foliar supplementation. Total nutrient uptake (NPK) was markedly improved under T₈, reinforcing the contribution of foliar potassium, particularly Schoenite, in nutrient use efficiency and uptake optimization (Kumar *et al.*, 2018; Shiksha *et al.*, 2025; Chavan *et al.*, 2024).

Economic Analysis : Economic returns reflected the enhancements in growth and

Table 1. Nitrogen uptake of summer green gram as influenced by different potassium foliar application treatments

Treatments	N content (%)		N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	Seed	Straw	Seed	Straw
T ₁ : Absolute control	3.36	0.73	19.65	6.43
T ₂ : GRDF 20:40:00 (N: P ₂ O ₅ : K ₂ O) kg ha ⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹	3.39	0.74	35.81	9.48
T ₃ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (1%)	3.41	0.74	37.44	9.83
T ₄ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (2%)	3.48	0.76	44.63	11.32
T ₅ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (1%)	3.45	0.75	39.84	10.37
T ₆ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (2%)	3.50	0.77	47.32	11.88
T ₇ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (1%)	3.46	0.76	40.93	10.61
T ₈ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (2%)	3.65	0.81	54.05	13.55
S. Em±	0.14	0.04	3.15	0.82
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	9.46	2.48
General mean	3.46	0.76	39.96	10.43

Table 2. Phosphorus uptake of summer green gram as influenced by different potassium foliar application treatments

Treatments	P content (%)		P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	Seed	Straw	Seed	Straw
T ₁ : Absolute control	0.39	0.23	2.27	2.01
T ₂ : GRDF 20:40:00 (N: P ₂ O ₅ : K ₂ O) kg ha ⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹	0.41	0.25	4.36	3.18
T ₃ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (1%)	0.42	0.25	4.63	3.35
T ₄ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (2%)	0.44	0.26	5.65	3.88
T ₅ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (1%)	0.44	0.27	5.08	3.66
T ₆ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (2%)	0.45	0.27	6.09	4.14
T ₇ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (1%)	0.43	0.25	5.07	3.55
T ₈ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (2%)	0.46	0.28	6.69	4.56
S. Em±	0.01	0.01	0.36	0.23
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	1.11	0.69
General mean	0.43	0.26	0.43	3.54

Table 3. Potassium uptake of summer green gram as influenced by different potassium foliar application treatments

Treatments	K content (%)		K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	Seed	Straw	Seed	Straw
T ₁ : Absolute control	1.14	1.02	6.61	9.01
T ₂ : GRDF 20:40:00 (N: P ₂ O ₅ : K ₂ O) kg ha ⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹	1.21	1.09	12.83	14.10
T ₃ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (1%)	1.23	1.11	13.60	14.80
T ₄ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (2%)	1.27	1.15	16.33	17.06
T ₅ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (1%)	1.29	1.16	14.92	16.03
T ₆ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (2%)	1.30	1.17	17.60	18.15
T ₇ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (1%)	1.24	1.12	14.68	15.67
T ₈ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (2%)	1.33	1.20	19.66	19.87
S. Em±	0.03	0.03	1.13	0.95
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	3.41	2.85
General mean	1.25	1.13	14.53	15.59

Table 4. Total uptake of NPK uptake of summer green gram as influenced by different potassium foliar application treatments

Treatments	Total N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : Absolute control	26.08	4.26	15.62
T ₂ : GRDF 20:40:00 (N: P ₂ O ₅ : K ₂ O) kg ha ⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹	45.29	7.57	26.93
T ₃ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (1%)	47.27	8.01	28.40
T ₄ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (2%)	55.95	9.49	33.40
T ₅ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (1%)	50.21	8.79	30.95
T ₆ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (2%)	59.20	10.20	35.75
T ₇ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (1%)	51.54	8.59	30.35
T ₈ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (2%)	67.60	11.34	39.53
S. Em±	3.89	0.65	2.11
C. D. at 5%	11.81	1.95	6.33
General mean	50.39	8.53	30.12

Table 5. Economics of summer green gram as influenced by different potassium foliar application treatments

Treatments	Gross monetary returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net monetary return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	B:C Ratio
T ₁ : Absolute control	51138	39981	11557	1.29
T ₂ : GRDF 20:40:00 (N: P ₂ O ₅ : K ₂ O) kg ha ⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹	82963	45235	40728	1.83
T ₃ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (1%)	96478	56063	40415	1.72
T ₄ : GRDF + foliar application of MOP (2%)	112337	55656	55573	2.01
T ₅ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (1%)	100987	55757	45230	1.81
T ₆ : GRDF + foliar application of SOP (2%)	118290	56105	62185	2.10
T ₇ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (1%)	103124	55749	47375	1.85
T ₈ : GRDF + foliar application of Potassium Schoenite (2%)	127005	56089	70916	2.26
S. Em±	5124	-	5124	-
C. D. at 5%	15543	-	15543	-
General mean	99036.87	41270.62	46747.37	1.85

nutrient uptake. T₈ led with the highest gross return of Rs. 127,005 ha⁻¹, net return Rs. 70,916 ha⁻¹, and benefit-cost ratio (B:C) of 2.26, significantly outpacing other treatments. The superior economic performance is attributed to increased yield components translating to greater marketable produce. These outcomes align with Manjhi *et al.* (2020) and Pandey *et al.* (2013), emphasizing foliar potassium fertilization as a profitable practice. Potassium Schoenite's chloride-free dual K and Mg supply improves photosynthetic efficiency and crop resilience under heat stress, further supporting yield and economic benefits (Kuttimani, 2011).

Conclusion

The study demonstrated that foliar application of potassium, particularly potassium schoenite at 2% or SOP at 2% or MOP at 2% at 40 and 55 DAS significantly improved nutrient uptake in summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Foliar potassium treatments notably increased nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium uptake by seed and straw, leading to improved growth and yield attributes. The potassium schoenite treatment consistently outperformed other potassium sources, enhancing nutrient use efficiency and crop resilience. Economically, foliar potassium application resulted in superior monetary returns and benefit-cost ratio, confirming its effectiveness as a sustainable and profitable nutrient management strategy for green gram cultivation in semi-arid regions.

References

- Akhil, G., Debbarma, V. and Tejaswi, A. 2022. Influence of potassium and sulphur on growth and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, 34(20), 454-457.
- Baligar, V. C., Fageria, N. K. and He, Z. L. 2011. Nutrient use efficiency in plants: An overview. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 24(6), 877-889.
- Chavan, Y. S., Bodake, P. S., Thorat, T. N., Kasture, M. C., Dalvi, S. G., Rajmahadik, V. A., *et al.* Nutrient management in green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] and its effect on growth and yield. Int J Adv Biochem Res. 2024; 8(8): 437-41
- Choudhary, M., *et al.* 2024. Synergistic potassium fertilization for enhanced yield and nutrient uptake in pulses. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 47(3), 331-345.
- Das, S. K. 2017. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield attributes, yield, nodulation and nutrient uptake of green gram. Legume Research. 40(1): 138-143
- Jamal, A., Saeed, M. F., Mihoub, A., Hopkins, B. G., Ahmad, I. and Naeem, A. 2023. Integrated use of phosphorus fertilizer and farmyard manure improves wheat productivity by improving soil quality and P availability in calcareous soil under subhumid conditions. Frontiers in plant science, 14, 1034421. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1034421>.
- Jat, M. K., Yadav, P. K., Tikko, A. and Yadav, S. S. 2021. Effect of potassium application on yield and quality of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Indian Journal of Experimental Biology, 59(1), 99-104.
- Kumar, D., Singh, P. and Sharma, R. K. 2018. Impact of foliar nutrition and organic amendments on soil properties and productivity of pulses. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7(5), 2429-2437.
- Kumawat, S. R., Khistriya, M. K., Yadav, S. L., Kumar, M. 2014. Effect of sulphur and phosphorus on growth and yield attributes on summer green gram. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 10(2): 770-773.
- Kuttimani, R. and Velayutham, A. 2011. Foliar application of nutrients and growth regulators on yield and economics of green gram. Madras Journal of Agriculture 98 (4-6) : 141-143.
- Manisha, M. K. J., *et al.* 2025. Impact of potassium fertilization and foliar sprays on nutrient uptake and soil fertility in cluster bean. Maharashtra Agricultural Journal, 120(1), 50-64.
- Manjhi, K., Masram, P., Kushwaha, H. S. and Singh, D. 2020. Effect of foliar nutrition on yield and economics of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Journal of Food Legumes, 33(3), 160-163.
- Mohanapriya, K., Ghosh, T. and Singh, D. 2022. Effects of foliar potassium sprays on soil chemical properties and crop performance. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 68(1), 45-55.
- Mohanapriya, R., Kalpana, R., Arumgam, A. and Ponnusamy, J. 2022. Correlation of soil physico-chemical properties with yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) by soil amendments and foliar nutrition under sodic soil condition. Journal of Applied and Natural Science, 14(4), 1441-1448.

- Pandey, Nalini and Gupta, Bhawna. 2013. The impact of foliar boron spray on reproductive biology and seed quality of black gram. *Journal of Environmental Science* 27(1): 58-64.
- Pandian, K., *et al.* 2024. Multi-nutrient and humic acid foliar applications enhance green gram growth and nutrient uptake in alkaline soil. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 55(2), 101-115.
- Pradhan, D. D., Golui, K., Asif, M. and Behera, S. R. 2024. Integrated nutrient management for sustainable soil fertility. In *Soil fertility management: A comprehensive approach* (1st ed., Chapter 10). Taneesha Publishers.
- Shiksha, Aaina Sharma, Akhil Bharti, Ishwar Singh and Shivani Sharma. 2025. Optimizing primary nutrients for enhanced performance of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) varieties. *International Journal of Research in Agronomy* 2025; 8(6): 911-914.
- Srinivasarao, C., Kundu, S., Rao, K. V., Venkateswarlu, B., *et al.* 2023. Soil potassium fertility and management strategies in South Asian agriculture. In D. L. Sparks (Ed.), *Advances in Agronomy* (Vol. 177, pp. 1-69). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2022.11.001>
- Sun B., Cui Q., Guo Y., Yang X., Zhang S., Gao M., *et al.* 2018. Soil phosphorus and relationship to phosphorus balance under long-term fertilization. *Plant Soil Environ.* 64, 214-220.
- Verma, P., Choudhary, R. and Bairwa, R. K. 2023. Role of potassium sources on nutrient uptake, yield and quality of pulse crops. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 57(3), 312-318.
-